

INTEGRABILITY IN FLUID DYNAMICS

Subir Ghosh

Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit,
Indian Statistical Institute,
203 B. T. Road, Calcutta 700035,
India.

Abstract:

3+1-dimensional free inviscid fluid dynamics is shown to satisfy the criteria for exact integrability, *i.e.* having an infinite set of independent, conserved quantities in involution, with the Hamiltonian being one of them. With (density dependent) interaction present, distinct infinite series of conserved quantities in involution are discovered. Clebsch parametrization of the velocity field is used in the latter analysis. Relativistic generalization of the free system is also shown to be integrable.

Keywords: Integrable model, Fluid dynamics, Field Theory.

The necessary conditions for a (classical) field theory to be completely integrable in *more than one* spatial dimensions are expected to correspond to their 1+1-dimensional counterpart: existence of an infinite number of independent conserved quantities in involution, (meaning that they commute at the Poisson Bracket (PB) level) with the Hamiltonian being one of the above [1]. These features generally induce soliton like solutions, which are physically interesting, both in (non-relativistic) condensed matter theory and in (relativistic) high energy physics, for simulating particle-like excitations. However, examples of integrable models in higher dimension are quite rare [2]. In the present Letter, we study various aspects of integrability in the inviscid fluid model in 3+1-dimensions, both with and without interaction [3]. Specifically, we show that **(i)** the free fluid models, compressible and incompressible, are integrable, **(ii)** compressible fluid model with (density dependent) interaction has two distinct infinite series of independent conserved quantities in involution and **(iii)** a relativistic generalization of the free fluid model is also integrable. "Free" model means that there is no pressure term in the equations of motion.

Exact integrability in 1+1-dimensions has been proved [4] by exploiting the connection between fluid models with (Chaplygin type of) density dependent interactions and brane system [5]. Conservation laws for irrotational fluids in higher dimensions have also been studied [4].

The Hamiltonian system with the basic PBs and equations of motion are the following [6],

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\rho v_i v_i + R(\rho) , \quad \{v_i(x), \rho(y)\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \delta(x-y) , \quad \{v_i(x), v_j(y)\} = -\frac{\partial_i v_j - \partial_j v_i}{\rho} \delta(x-y). \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t \rho = \{\rho(x), H\} = \partial_i(\rho v_i) , \quad \partial_t v_i = \{v_i(x), H\} = (v_j \partial_j) v_i + \partial_i \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial \rho} \right), \quad (2)$$

where ρ and v_i denote the density and velocity fields respectively, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and a density dependent pressure term has been considered. Immediately we notice that for the free theory, ($R = 0$), for arbitrary smooth functions $F(v_j)$ and $G(v_j)$,

$$\partial_t(\rho F(v_j)) + \partial_i(\rho F(v_j)v^i) = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\left\{ \int d^3x(\rho F), \int d^3y(\rho G) \right\} = \int d^3x \partial_j(\rho F \frac{\partial G}{\partial v_j}) = 0. \quad (4)$$

(3) constitute an infinite number of conservation laws and (4) shows that the corresponding charges are in involution. Obviously the free $\mathcal{H}$ belongs to this set. Hence the free theory satisfies the criteria of exact integrability. However, in presence of the interaction, $R \neq 0$, the conservation law (3) does not hold [7]. To study the effects of interaction a better framework is provided by the Clebsch parametrization of the velocity field [6], $v_i(x) \equiv \partial_i \theta(x) + \alpha(x) \partial_i \beta(x)$, with the non-zero PBs [8],

$$\{\theta(x), \alpha(y)\} = -\frac{\alpha}{\rho} \delta(x-y), \quad \{\beta(x), \alpha(y)\} = \frac{1}{\rho} \delta(x-y), \quad \{\theta(x), \rho(y)\} = \delta(x-y). \quad (5)$$

Time evolution of the Clebsch variables are

$$\dot{\alpha} = v_i \partial_i \alpha; \quad \dot{\beta} = v_i \partial_i \beta; \quad \dot{\theta} = v_i (\partial_i \theta - \frac{v_i}{2}) + \frac{\partial R}{\partial \rho}; \quad \dot{\rho} = \partial_i (\rho v_i). \quad (6)$$

Using above relations, one can easily check that

$$\partial_t(A(\alpha)\rho) = \partial_i(A(\alpha)\rho v_i); \quad \partial_t(B(\beta)\rho) = \partial_i(B(\beta)\rho v_i); \quad \partial_t(C(\alpha, \beta)\rho) = \partial_i(C(\alpha, \beta)\rho v_i), \quad (7)$$

where A , B and C are smooth functions. The above constitute the three independent serieses of local current conservation equations. Clearly the conserved charges $A[\alpha] = \int (A(\alpha)\rho)$ etc. are trivially involutive,

$$\{A_1[\alpha], A_2[\alpha]\} = \{B_1[\beta], B_2[\beta]\} = 0, \quad (8)$$

but the rest of the PBs between charges are non-vanishing. Hence one can take either the set $A[\alpha]$ or the set $B[\beta]$ as the independent and conserved charges in involution. This constitutes analysis of the interacting case. Notice that $\mathcal{H}$ does not belong to any of the three sets as it contains θ . It would be interesting if a bi-Hamiltonian structure [9] could be found, in which a term from one of the above serieses will act as Hamiltonian to reproduce (6) via a PB algebra other than (5).

In this connection, the incompressible fluid turns out to be integrable. We introduce the constraint $\rho(x) - \rho_0 \approx 0$ which generates another constraint $\partial_i v_i \approx 0$ due to the equations of motion (2). One can replace $\theta = -(\partial_i \partial_i)^{-1} \partial_j (\alpha \partial_j \beta)$ from $\mathcal{H}$ so that it belongs to $C(\alpha, \beta)$. Explicitly performing the Dirac analysis [10] of the above second class pair of constraints [11] one can check that the conservation laws in (7) and the corresponding charges become ρ -independent. Hence the Hamiltonian and either the set $A(\alpha)$ or the set $B(\beta)$ constitute the conserved quantities in involution.

Some peculiarities are involved in the Noether description of the above conserved currents (7) from the Lagrangian [5]

$$\mathcal{L} = \dot{\theta} \rho + \dot{\beta} \alpha \rho - \mathcal{H}, \quad (9)$$

which correctly reproduces the PBs (5) by Dirac constraint analysis [10] or symplectic procedure [12]. Possibly due to the non-canonical and first order nature of the system, the action respects the symmetry transformations leading to the currents in a restricted way, where the arbitrary functions $A(\alpha)$, $B(\beta)$ and $C(\alpha, \beta)$ have to be replaced by polynomials α^n etc [3].

In the relativistic generalization, the free Lagrangian is expressed as [13]

$$\mathcal{L}_{rel} = j^\mu a_\mu - (j^\mu j_\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} ; a_\mu = \partial_\mu \theta + \alpha \partial_\mu \beta , j^\mu = (\rho, \rho v^i). \quad (10)$$

Expanding the square root as $\rho(1 + v^i v_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx \rho(1 + \frac{1}{2} v^i v_i + \dots)$, and dropping the uninteresting $\int \rho$ term [13], (since it can only influence the time evolution of θ by a constant translation), we can recover the non-relativistic Lagrangian. We emphasise that the symplectic structure and the associated PB algebra remains unaltered from (5). The Hamiltonian now is modified to

$$\mathcal{H}_{rel} = \rho[v_i v_i + (1 - v_i v_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}], \quad (11)$$

which changes the equations of motion to the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\alpha} &= L_i \partial_i \alpha ; \dot{\beta} = L_i \partial_i \beta ; \dot{\theta} = -L_i \alpha \partial_i \beta + [v_i v_i + (1 - v_i v_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}] ; \dot{\rho} = \partial_i (\rho L_i), \\ L_i &= \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{rel}}{\partial v_i} = v_i [2 - (1 - v_i v_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Notice that in the lowest order, $L_i \approx v_i + O(v^3)$, the previous equations are recovered. Clearly the previous conservation laws will remain intact by replacing v_i by L_i in the expressions (7) for the currents. Integrability is proved since in analogy to (3), we have $\partial_t(\rho F(v_j)) + \partial_i(\rho F(v_j) L^i) = 0$, (4) remains unchanged and $\mathcal{H}_{rel}$ belongs to $F(v_i)$.

Regarding the possibility of soliton solutions in the fluid model, a comment is in order. The Virial theorem [14] proving absence of solitons in models in higher dimensions, involving *only* scalar fields, depends on the Lagrangian having the canonical relativistic form $\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \phi_i \partial^\mu \phi_i - U(\phi_j)$. The relativistic Lagrangian (10) and its non-relativistic limit (9) both are first order in nature with a non-canonical PB algebra between the basic scalar fields ρ , θ , α and β . Hence, *a priori* it is not obvious whether the Virial theorem is applicable here. A natural starting point is provided in the Batalin-Tyutin [15] extension of this model (see Ghosh in [8]), where the PBs are canonical. All the above results are derivable here in a modified form. Also this version is suitable for quantization.

To conclude, we have shown that the 3+1-dimensional free fluid model satisfies the exact integrability criteria. For a density dependent interaction, there exist three distinct infinite sets of independent, conserved charges of which terms in two sets are in involution. Subtleties in the Noether description of the conservation laws are pointed out. We have also shown that these conservation laws survive, albeit with modifications, in a relativistic generalization of the free theory. Establishment of a bi-Hamiltonian structure in case of interactions and soliton solutions in the model will be worth studying. How far these conclusions can be generalized to d-brane systems remains an open question. From another point of view, stability analysis of non-linear systems [16] in Clebsch representation will be interesting since, (as stated in [16]), a large amount of arbitrariness in the structure of the conserved quantities, which is present here, is required in the analysis.

It is a pleasure to thank Dr. B. Basu Mallick for discussions. Also I thank Dr. D. Bazeia for correspondence.

References

- [1] A.Das in *Integrable Models* , World Scientific., 1989.
- [2] D.B.Fairlie, Integrable systems in higher dimensions, preprint 1987; D.B.Fairlie and J.Govaerts, J.Phys.A 3339(1993).
- [3] S.Ghosh, hep-th/0106166.
- [4] M.Bordemann and J.Hoppe, Phys.Lett. B317 315(1993); R.Jackiw and A.P.Polychronakos, hep-th/9809123; D.Bazeia and R.Jackiw, Ann.Phys.(NY), (hep-th/9803165); R.Jackiw and A.P.Polychronakos, Comm.Math.Phys. 207 107(1999), D.Bazia, Phys.Rev. D59 085007(1999).
- [5] For a review, see R.Jackiw, physics/0010042.
- [6] A.Thellung, Physica 29 227(1953). For a discussion on some subtleties involved in Clebsch parametrization, see S.Deser, R.Jackiw and A.P.Polychronakos, Phys.Lett. A279 151(2001).
- [7] There is a conservation law for $F(v_j) \approx v_j$ and $R(\rho) \approx \rho^n$.
- [8] S.Nguyen and L.A.Tursky, Physica A290 431; S.Ghosh, hep-th/0105124.
- [9] Y.Nutku, J.Math. Phys. 26 1237(1985); *ibid.* 28 2579(1987); P.J.Olver and Y.Nutku, J.Math.Phys. 29(7) 1610(1988).
- [10] P.A.M.Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, (Yeshiva University Press, New York, 1964).
- [11] S.Nguyen and L.A.Tursky, Physica A272 48(1999).
- [12] L.Faddeev and R.Jackiw. Phys.Rev.Lett. 60 1692(1988).
- [13] R.Jackiw, V.P.Nair and So-Young Pi, Phys.Rev. D62 085018(2000).
- [14] See for example R.Rajaraman in *Solitons and Instantons*, North Holland, 1982.
- [15] I.A.Batalin and I.V.Tyutin, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A6 3255(1992).
- [16] D.Holm, et al., Phys.Rep. 123 1(1985).